International Journal of Modern Cellular and Molecular Biology, 2015, 4(1): 1-10

Modern Scientific Press

International Journal of Modern Cellular and Molecular Biology **ISSN: 2169-0995**

Journal homepage: www.ModernScientificPress.com/Journals/IJCellBio.aspx *Florida, USA*

Article

Studies on Quantitative Characters for Gamma Rays Treatment in Soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.) Var. Co-1

Pavadai, P.

P.G. & Research Department of Botany, Periyar Arts College, Cuddalore 607 001, TN

indsankar@rediffmail.com

Article history: Received 26 February 2015, Received in revised form 10 April 2015, Accepted 20 April May 2015, Published 13 May 2015.

Abstract: Effect of gamma rays on Soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Mrr.) var. Co-1plants of three generation *viz*., M_1, M_2 and M_3, The mutagens like Gamma rays were treatments for various doses (10,20,30,40,50,60,70,80,90 and 100KR) separately. The morphology and yield parameters were carried out M_1, M2 and M_3 generations. The effect of mutagens on different growth parameters, yields and yield components and quality (protein and oil) were studied. The seeds were grown in the field and observation separate concentration. The following characters were recorded such as days to first followers, plant height, number of leaves per plant, number of branches per plant, number of cluster per plant, number of pods per plant, number of seeds per plant, seed yield per plant, protein content and oil content. The yield parameters like plant height, number of cluster per plant, number of seeds per plant and seed yield per plant were recorded the moderated and high mean value in the 50 KR of treated population of all generation with compared to control plants.

Keywords: Soybean, mutagens, Gamma rays.

1. Introduction

The present study was investigated through induced mutation by gamma rays and their effects on quantitative traits of soybean (G*lycine max* (L.) Merr.) Variety CO-1 by means of extended the genetic variability.

1.1. Importance of Soybean

Soybean, Glycine max (L.) Merr., combines in one crop both the dominant world supply of edible vegetable oil, and the dominant supply of high-protein feed supplements for livestock. Other fractions and derivatives of the seed have substantial economic importance in a wide range of industrial, food, pharmaceutical, and agricultural products (Smith and Huyser, 1987). The United States is the principal world supplier of soybeans (Jewell, 1988). Soybean protein is rich in valuable amino acid lycine (5%) in which most of the cereals are deficient. In addition, it contains a good amount of minerals, salts and vitamins (thiamine and riboflavin) and its sprouting grains contain a considerable amount of Vitamin C, Vitamin A is present in the form of precursor carotene, which is converted into vitamin A in the intestine. A large number of Indian and western dishes such as bread, `chapati', milk, sweets, pastries etc., can be prepared with soybean. Wheat flour fortified with soybean flour makes good quality and more nutritious `chapati'. Soybean oil is used for manufacturing *vanaspati* ghee and several other industrial products. Soybean is used for making high protein food for children. It is widely used in the industrial production of different antibiotics. Soybean builds up the soil fertility by fixing large amounts of atmospheric nitrogen through the root nodules, and also through leaf fall on the ground at maturity. It can be used as fodder; forage can be made into hay, silage etc. Its forage and cake are excellent nutritive foods for livestock and poultry. Soybean being the richest, cheapest and easiest source of best quality proteins and fats and having a vast multiplicity of uses as food and industrial products is sometimes called a wonder crop.soybean is one of the important crops of the world. Production of soybean in India at the present time is restricted mainly to Madhya Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh Maharashtra and Gujarat. It is also grown on a small acreage in Himachal Pradesh, Punjab and Delhi.

1.2. Taxonomy of Soybean Relatives

The soybean is a papilionoid legume (family Fabaceae, subfamily Faboideae), and a member of the tribe Phaseoleae, subtribe Glycininae. The subtribe to which soybean belongs consists of 16 genera, none of which, save for soybean (Glycine) and kudzu (Pueraria), are commonly known outside of botanical science. The genus Glycine is unique within the subtribe on several morphological and chromosomal characters, and does not seem to bear an especially intimate relationship with any other genus in the subtribe (Lackey, 1977). A single exception may be the genus Sinodolichos, a rarely-collected and poorly-known genus from Asia. Sinodolichos is unknown in the living state outside of Asia (Lackey, 1981a).

The genus Glycine is divided into two questionably distinct subgenera: Glycine and Soia. The first consists of six or seven perennial species primarily from Australia. The second consists of three annual species from Asia: Glycine max, Glycine soia Sieb. & Zucc., and Glycine gracilis Skvortz. The

first species is the cultivated soybean, the second species is the wild form of the soybean, and the third species is the weedy form of the soybean (Lackey, 1981a).

1.3. Mutation in Soybean

The mutagenesis was quickly treated in gene level so the portant of crops production. The FAO/IAEA was first news released the data base for most of mutant varieties of Soybean. Mutation breeding supplement conventional plant breeding as a source of increasing variability and could confer specific improvement without significantly altering its acceptable phenotype (Ojomo *et al.*, 1979). Mutations, both spontaneous and induced have been eminently successful in changing the fatty acid composition of several oilseed crops. Three reasons have been quoted for attempts in changing seed oil quality by means of single gene mutations being exceptionally successful. Induced mutation was abnormal of the chromosome is the primary basis of genetic change; therefore, investigations on the mechanism of chromosome breakage, type of aberrations, and their genetic consequence form an integral part of most mutation studies (Zeerak, 1992). Induced mutagenesis has been recognized as the most efficient method for induction of morphological and genetical variabilities in plants especially in those with limited genetic variabilities, because in plants the gene replacement experiments through homologus recombination with introduced DNA sequences have met with limited success.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Collection of Seeds

Soybean seeds variety CO-1 were collected from Millet breeding station, Tami Nadu Agriculture University (TNAU), Coimbatore.

2.1.1 Mutagenic treatment -Gamma irradiation treatment

The seeds were treated with different dose of Gamma rays (10. 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90 and 100KR) treated from sugarcane breeding institute (ICAR) Coimbatore.

2.2. Laboratory studies (M_1 Generation)

2.2.1. Germination studies

Germinated seeds will count from 3^{rd} to 7^{th} day emergence of cotyledonary leaf will be taken as the indication of germination. Germination percentage will be worked out for the treatment in each genotype separately and lethality will be found out based on the mean value of 10 replicates.

2.2.2. Shoot and root length

The shoot and root length (cm) will be measured ten randomly selected seedlings with ten replications on the 15th day with the effect of physical mutagen along with control.

2.2.3. Lethal dosage (LD_{50} Value)

The LD_{50} value for Soybean variety CO-1 was observed at 50KR of gamma rays.

2.3. Field studies (M1, M2 and M_3 generations-Based on the LD_{50} value)

Treated and control seeds were sown in the field (3 replication) in a randomized Block design (RBD) in order to raises the M_1, M2 and M_3 generations. Each treatment of doses consists of hundred seeds including control. The seed to seed and row to row distance was maintained at15×60cm respectively. Cultural operations were carried out *viz.*, irrigation and weeding. The following characters such as Days to first flower, Plant height, Number of branches per plant, Number of leaves per plant, Number of cluster per plant, Number of pod per plant, Number of seeds per plant, Hundred seed weight, Seed yield per plant, Fresh weight per plant and Dry weight per plant were observed in all the treatments along with R_1- R_3 generations.

2.3.1. Days to first flower (days)

The number of days taken from sowing to first flower was recorded and expressed as number of days to first flower.

2.3.2. Plant height (cm)

The height of the plant from the base to the top of the plant of maturity was measured and expressed in cm.

2.3.3. Number of branches per plant

Number of branches arising from the main stem were counted and recorded at the maturity.

2.3.4. Number of leaves per plant

The number of leaves was counter and recorded as the number of leaves per plants

2.3.5. Number of clusters per plant

Total number of clusters at maturity time were counted and recorded as the number of clusters per plant.

2.3.6. Number of pods per plant

Total number of pods at maturity time were counted and recorded as the number of pods per plant.

2.3.7. Number of seeds per plant

Total number of seeds from individual plant were counted and recorded as the number of seeds per plant.

2.3.8. Seed yield per plant

Seed yield was worked out by using digital electronic balance and expressed in grams.

2.3.9. Hundred Seed weight (g)

Hundred Seed weight was worked out by using digital electronic balance and expressed in grams.

2.3.10. Fresh weight per plant (g)

Fresh weight was measured after harvest by using digital electronic balance and expressed in grams per plant.

2.3.11. Dry weight per plant (g)

Dry weight was measured after harvest by drying in hot oven at 60°C, using digital electronic balance and expressed in grams per plant.

2.4. Biochemical Studies

2.4.1. Seed protein content (%)

Two seeds from the same plant of each M_3 plants were separately collected and ground in a mortar and the extracts were defatted by washing with three changes of cold acetone for 4 to 6 hrs. The acetone was removed by filtration and the extracts were air-dried at room temperature. The proteins from the defatted meal were precipitated with 10% trichloro-acetic acid and recovered by centrifugation at 5000 rpm for 30 minutes at 40°C. The protein content was then determined calorimetrically according to the method of Lowry *et al.*, (1951) using bovine serum albumin as standard.

2.4.2. Seed oil content (%)

The oil content of the kernel was estimated with petroleum ether in Soxhlet extraction apparatus (Cox and Pearson, 1962).

3. Results and Discussion

Effect of Gamma rays on Soybean for R_1, R_2 and R_3 generations was thoroughly investigated with effect of gamma rays on quantitative traits such as germination percentage (LD_{50} Value), Days to First flower, Plant height (cm), Number of leaves per plant, Number of branches per plant, Number of

cluster per plant, Number of pods per plant, Number of seed per plant, Seed yield per plant (g), 100 seed weight (g), Fresh weight per plant (g) and Dry weight per plant (g), Seed Protein content and Seed Oil content.

3.1. Determination of LD_{50} Value

Important directions to be made in a mutagenesis experiment are the choice of doses of mutagen. It can be achieved by means of lethal dose (LD_{50} value). It refers to 50 per cent reduction of germination seeds in given progeny. The determination of optimal mutagenic dose is not easy. Because, low and high mutagenic doses are having some merits and demerits generally, higher mutagenic doses provide a higher number of possible mutants.

The present investigation exhibited that increased doses of gamma rays treatment in the resulted in the decrease in germination percentage, 50 per cent reduction in seed germination at 50KR of gamma rays (LD_{50} value 52.82) respectively.

3.2. Seed Germination, Seedling Survival

Different doses of gamma rays treatment showed gradual reduction of seed germination (10^{th} day), seedling survival (30^{th} day) and Plant height (30^{th} day) than control. The effects of gamma rays were different doses on survival percentage, mutation frequency and mutagenic effectiveness. The survival percentage and mean value of M_1 generation were decreased with increase the dose of treatments.

3.3. M_1 Generation

Induced mutation procedure in the recent past has successfully been used for the improvement of various pulse crops. In the present investigation, all the parameters were gradually reduced in R_1 generation at maturity time except days to first flowering which increase the days indicated inhibitory effects. The present result confirms these earlier reports. Amarnath *et al.*, (1991) reported that Genotypic and phenotypic variability and heritability of some quantitative characters in soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merr).The growth and other quantitative traits were proportionately decreased with increasing dose of gamma irradiation in the present study. Cheng *et al.*, (1999) the structural, biochemical and genetic characterization of a new radiation-induced, variegated leaf mutant of soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.).

The decrease in survival percentage has been attributed to the physiological disturbance or chromosomal damage caused to the cells of the plant by the mutagen. Mensah (1977) recorded reduction in germination and survival percentage due to the effect of chemical mutagens in cowpea.

The M_1 generation was assessed at the field level to measure the intensity of injury caused by mutagenic treatments (Gaul, 1970).

Table 1. Effect of gamma rays on soybean in M_1 generation

Treatment	Days to first flower	Plant height (cm)	No. of leaves/plant	No. of branch/plant	No.of cluster /plant	No. of pod/plant	No. of seed/plant	Seed yield (g)	protein content (%)	oil content (%)
Control	35.58 ± 2.11	69.88 ± 1.94	68.32±3.32	4.87±0.61	23.21±1.32	23.21±1.32	80.21±3.33	12.87±0.98	36.14±2.06	18.81±0.78
Gamma rays 10 KR	36.32 ± 2.30	73.50 ± 2.30	65.11±4.05	4.32±0.35	21.47±1.50	21.47±1.50	76.32±2.48	11.62±0.35	36.37±1.87	18.77±0.41
20 KR	36.13 ± 1.58	74.41 ± 1.58	69.38±3.98	4.55±0.41	23.65±1.44	23.65±1.44	81.25±1.65	12.35±0.66	36.15±1.44	19.24±0.32
30 KR	35.11 ± 1.56	75.24 ± 1.56	71.45±3.54	5.01±0.20	24.55±1.06	24.55±1.06	80.69±1.47	10.43±0.84	37.02±1.52	19.36±0.41
40 KR	35.03 ± 1.30	75.66 ± 1.30	74.08±1.47	5.36±0.13	22.08±1.11	22.08±1.11	82.33±1.08	13.24±0.47	37.81±1.22	19.04±0.27
50 KR	33.44 ± 1.00	73.01 ± 1.00	78.26±1.55	5.84±0.11	26.78±1.87	26.78±1.87	85.46±1.33	14.18±0.98	38.54±0.97	19.63±0.21
60 KR	35.11 ± 1.41	74.22 ± 1.41	60.53±1.07	3.62±0.20	21.56±0.59	21.56±0.59	78.31±1.52	12.56±0.24	33.11±0.56	18.42±0.40

3.4. Effect of Gamma Irradiation on M_2 and M_3 Generations

In M_2 and M_3 generations all the parameters gradually increased in optimum doses. The present results confirm these earlier reports in black gram (Arulbalachandran 2006); Mung bean (Khan and Wani 2005): *Zea mays* (Gnanamurthy *et al*., 2011) and Cowpea (Dhanavel *et al*., 2012) The R_2 Plants raised in the field were examined to identify the mutants induced by gamma rays, as well as to find out their effects on various quantitative characters. The maximum mutants were observed at 50KR of gamma rays.

Table 2. Effect of Gamma rays on Soybean in M 2 generation (Mean value ± SE).

Treatment	**Germination %**	**Seedling survival %**	**Days to first flower**	**Root length (cm)**	**Shoot length (cm)**	**No. of fruit/ plant**	**Seed yield (g)**	**Fresh weight (g)**	**Dry weight (g)**	**100 Seed weight (g)**
Control	97.24	92.45	37.21	25.64	68.39	70.51	16.08	87.19	47.65	10.39
Gamma rays 10 KR	95.11	90.35	38.27	22.54	66.18	66.25	15.10	84.25	43.28	10.02
20 KR	84.27	83.06	38.08	20.16	62.05	61.48	14.35	80.04	39.49	9.87
30 KR	69.84	66.35	40.21	18.84	58.54	51.38	13.07	76.29	35.28	9.36
40 KR	58.87	54.08	40.65	18.23	51.20	47.59	12.54	71.24	34.07	9.04
50 KR	51.37	46.28	41.10	17.51	45.29	44.06	12.05	66.01	32.15	8.90
60 KR	37.39	35.24	43.08	15.29	40.07	41.17	11.04	59.81	27.40	8.81

Table 3. Effect of gamma rays on soybean in M_3 generation (Mean value ± SE)

Treatment	Days to first flower	Plant height (cm)	No. of leaves/plant	No. of branch/plant	No.of cluster /plant	No. of pod/plant	No. of seed/plant	Seed yield (g)	protein content (%)	oil content (%)
Control	36.81 ± 1.43	70.75 ± 4.32	64.27±1.99	4.32±0.11	21.62±1.54	49.62±2.82	79.81±3.81	10.21±0.97	37.56±1.27	18.05±1.71
Gamma rays 10 KR	36.99 ± 1.25	75.66 ± 2.56	69.54±2.56	4.46±0.13	22.32±0.89	59.62±1.96	81.37±2.76	11.64±0.69	38.32±1.52	18.37±1.15
20 KR	35.47 ± 1.08	76.43 ± 5.04	73.21±4.17	4.51±0.15	20.81±0.94	60.39±3.71	80.26±5.52	12.07±0.77	38.50±1.90	19.22±1.36
30 KR	37.18 ± 0.69	74.41 ± 3.98	70.42±3.56	5.02±0.10	21.37±1.37	60.55±4.21	83.36±6.36	11.85±0.96	39.02±1.16	19.56±1.05
40 KR	35.24 ± 0.78	80.71 ± 2.54	75.37±1.82	5.07±0.09	24.39±0.99	61.27±2.96	82.97±5.91	12.39±0.82	39.13±2.37	19.85±0.58
50 KR	34.35 ± 1.14	81.02 ± 2.61	81.52±4.21	5.16±0.27	26.51±1.72	62.21±3.27	86.37±4.56	13.46±0.82	39.56±1.94	18.76±1.02
60 KR	37.65 ± 1.22	62.89 ± 2.78	61.32±2.37	5.02±0.16	20.12±1.01	50.29±3.11	84.80±3.82	12.55±1.27	38.06±2.07	18.22±0.89

4. Conclusion

The present investigation, different dose of gamma irradiation induced mutation in R_1 generation inhibit seedling growth and other growth parameters in field condition. The inhibitory effects were due to physiological disturbances on soybean by gamma irradiation especially with imbalance of growth hormones. From the results LD_{50} value was fixed at 50KR gamma rays. In R_2 and R_3 generations 50KR of gamma rays showed more frequency of chlorophyll mutants, viable mutants, effectiveness and efficiency.

References

Ahmad, Q.N.,Britten E.J and Byth, D.E. (1983). A quantitative method of karyotypic analysis applied to the soybean, *Glycine max.* Cytologia, 48: 879-892.

Amarnath, K.C.N., Viswanatha S.R. and Shivashankar, G. (1991). Genotypic and phenotypic variability and heritability of some quantitative characters in soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merr). *Mysore J. Agric. Sci.*, **25:** 26-31.

Arulbalachandran .D (2006). Physical and Chemical Mutagenesis in Black gram (*Vigno mungo* (L.) happer) Ph.D thesis, Annamalai University.

Datta, A.K. and Sengupta, K. (2002). Induced viable macromutants in coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.). Indian J. Genet. Plant Breed, 48(3): 303-304.

Dhanavel D, Gnanamurthy. S and Girija. M. (2012). Effect of Gamma rays on induced chromosomal variation in Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp). International J. of Current Science. 245-250.

Fehr, L., (1987). Genetices of *Vigna.* In J. Janick (ed). Horticulture review. AVI, Westport, Connecticut, P.311-394.

Gaul, H., (1964). Mutation in plant breeding. *Rad. Bot*., 4: 155-232.

Gaul, H., (1970). *Mutagenic effects observable in the first generation*. I. Plant injury and lethality. II. Cytological effects. III. Sterility. Manual on Mutation Breeding, IAEA, Vienna, 85-89.

Gnanamurthy, S., Dhanavel, D. and Girija, M. (2011). Studies on induced chemical mutagenesis in maize (*Zea mays* (L.) *International J. of Current Res*., 3 (11):037-040.

Gustafsson, A., (1940). The mutation system of the chlorophyll apparatus. *Lond Univ. Arsskr*., 36: 1-40.

Jana, M. K. (1964). Effect of X-ray and neutron irradiation on seeds of *phaseolus mungo* L. *Genetia Agraria*, XVIII (4): 617-628.

Jewell, L. D. (1988). *Agricultural Statistics 1987*. United States Department of Agriculture. Washington.

Juliet Hepziba, S. and Subramanian, M. (2002). Induced macro mutants in M_3 and M_4 generations of blackgram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper). *Crop Res*., 24(1): 63-66.

Khan, S. and. Wani, M.R (2005). Genetic variability and correlations studies in chickpea mutants. *J. Cytol. Genet*, 6(2): 155-160.

Kumar, S. and Dubey, D.K. (1998). Induced morphological mutations in *Lathyrus sativus*. *J. Cytol. Genet.* 33(2): 131-137.

Konzak, C.F., Nilan, R.A. Wagner. J. and Foster, R.J. (1965). *Efficient chemical mutagenesis*. The use of induced mutations in plant breeding Rept. FAO/IAEA Tech. Meet. Rome.

Lackey, J. A. (1977). *A synopsis of Phaseoleae (Leguminosae, Papilionoideae).* Ph.D. dissertation. Iowa State University. Ames, Iowa.

Lackey, J. A. (1981a). *Phaseoleae. In: Advances in legume systematics*. Royal Botanic Gardens. Kew.

Lackey, J. A. (1981b). Systematic significance of the epihilum in Phaseoleae (Fabaceae, Faboideae). *Bot. Gaz*. 142:160-164

Mensah, J.K and P.A.Akomeah, 1992. Mutagenetic effects of hydroxylamine and streplomycin on the growth and yield of Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) walp.) *Legume Res*.15: 39-44.

Mensah, J.K, (1977). Effects of chemical mutagens on three variants of Cowpea. (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) walp) B.Sc. (Hons project) Univ. cape. Coast, Chana, P.32.

Pavadai, P., (2006). Studies on induced mutagenesis in soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.). Ph.D. Thesis, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar, Tamil Nadu.

Pavadai, P., Girija M. and Dhanavel, D. (2009). Effectiveness and efficiency and biochemical content of physical and chemical mutagens in soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.). *J. of phytolo*, 1(6): 444-447.

Pugalendi, N., (1992). *Investigation on induced mutagenetics in Sesamum indicum L. M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis,* Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar, Tamil Nadu.

Smith, K. J., Huyser, W. (1987). *World Distribution and Significance of Soybean*. In: Soybeans: Improvement, Production, and Uses; Second Edition. Ed. J. R. Wilcox. pp. 1-22. American Society of Agronomy. Madison, Wisconsin.

Swaminathan, M.S., (1969). *Role of mutation breeding in a changing agriculture. In induced mutations in plants*. IAEA, Vienna, pp. 719-734.

Vandana. S. Kumar and D.K. Dubey, (1992). Frequency and spectrum of mutations induced by DES and NMU in Khesari (*Lathyrus sativus* L.) var. P-505. *Res. J. Pl. Environ*., 8: 39-41.

Yadava, H.S., Tikle A.N. and. Bhagat, D.V (2003). Effect of induced mutation through gamma rays on growth and yield parameters of kodo-millet. *J. Soil and Crops*, 13(1): 25-28.