

# On Oscillatory and Asymptotic Behavior of Fourth Order Nonlinear Neutral Delay Differential Equations

Omar Bazighifan

*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Hadhramout University, Hadhramout, Yemen*

*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education, Seiyun University, Seiyun, Yemen*

*Article history:* Received 4 December 2018; Revised 28 January 2019; Accepted 31 January 2019; Published 7 February 2019.

**Abstract:** This paper is concerned with asymptotic behavior of a class of fourth order neutral delay differential equations of the form

$$[b(t)(z'(t))^\gamma]''' + q(t)f(x(\tau(t))) = 0, \quad t \geq t_0,$$

under the condition

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t)} dt < \infty.$$

We give a new asymptotic criterion by using comparison theorem with first order differential equation. Some examples are included to illustrate the importance of results obtained.

**Keywords:** Oscillation, fourth-order, neutral delay differential equations

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 34K10, 34K11.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, several sufficient conditions for oscillation of all solutions of fourth order functional differential equations of neutral type of the form

$$[b(t)(z'(t))^\gamma]''' + q(t)f(x(\tau(t))) = 0, \quad t \geq t_0. \quad (1.1)$$

Where  $z(t) = x(t) + p(t)x(\sigma(t))$ ,  $\gamma$  is the ratio of positive odd integers. In the sequel, assume that the conditions are satisfied:

$$(A_1) b, q, \tau, \sigma \in C([0, \infty), (0, \infty)), q > 0, p \in C([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}), 0 \leq p(t) \leq p < 1,$$

$$\tau(t) \leq t, \sigma(t) \leq t, \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tau(t) = \infty, \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(t) = \infty.$$

$$(A_2) f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), uf(u) > 0 \text{ for } u \neq 0, f(u)/u^\gamma \geq k > 0 \text{ for } u \neq 0.$$

A function  $x \in C[T_x, \infty), T_x \geq t_0$  is called a solutions of Eq. (1.1) which has the property  $z(t), z'(t), b(t)(z'(t))^\gamma \in C^1[T_x, \infty)$  and satisfies Eq. (1.1) on  $[T_x, \infty)$ . We consider only those solutions  $x$  of Eq. (1.1) which satisfy  $\sup\{|x(t)| : t \geq T\} > 0$ , for all  $T > T_x$ . We assume that (1.1) possesses such a solution. A solution of (1.1) is called oscillatory if it has arbitrarily large zeros on  $[T_x, \infty)$  and otherwise it is called to be nonoscillatory.

In recent years, there has been an increasing interest in studying the oscillation of solutions of the equations, we refer the readers to (see [5, 17], [12]-[15]) and to the papers (see [1]-[11], [16]-[20]) and the references cited therein. The differential equations with deviating arguments are deemed to be adequate in modeling of the countless processes in all areas of science. As is well known, a distinguishing feature of delay differential equations under consideration is the dependence of the evolution rate of the processes described by such equations on the past history. This consequently results in predicting the future in a more reliable and efficient way, explaining at the same time many qualitative phenomena such as periodicity, oscillation or instability. Contrariwise, advanced differential and dynamic equations can find use in many applied problems whose evolution rate depends not only on the present, but also on the future, it also plays a vital role. The differential equations with mixed arguments have both advanced arguments and delay arguments, and have both properties.

Tunc and Bazighifan [18] studied the oscillatory behavior of the fourth-order nonlinear differential equation with a continuously distributed delay

$$[b(t)(z'''(t))^\gamma]' + \int_a^b q(t, \tau)f(x(h(t, \tau))) = 0, \quad t \geq t_0,$$

where  $z(t) = x(t) + \int_c^d p(t, \sigma)x(\tau(t, \sigma)) d\sigma$ . Under the condition

$$\int_{t_0}^\infty \frac{1}{b^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t)} dt = \infty.$$

Grace, et al. [11] studied the oscillation behavior of the fourth-order nonlinear differential equation

$$[b(t)(x'(t))^\gamma]'' + q(t)x^\gamma(\tau(t)) = 0, \quad t \geq t_0,$$

Under the condition

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t)} dt < \infty. \quad (1.2)$$

Parhi and Tripathy [10] have considered the fourth-order neutral differential equations of the form

$$[b(t)(x(t) + p(t)x(t - \tau))'' ]'' + q(t)G(x(t - \sigma)) = 0,$$

and

$$[b(t)(x(t) + p(t)x(t - \tau))'' ]'' + q(t)G(x(t - \sigma)) = f(t),$$

and established the oscillation and asymptotic behavior of the above equations under the conditions

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b(t)} dt = \infty,$$

and

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b(t)} dt < \infty.$$

In view of the above motivation, our aim in this paper is to present sufficient conditions which ensure that all solutions of (1.1) are oscillatory. We give some new criteria for the oscillation of (1.1) by using comparison theorem with first order differential equation. Moreover, we present a new comparison theorem for deducing the oscillation of (1.1). Thus, our method essentially simplifies the examination of the fourth order equation. Our results essentially improve and complement the earlier ones. Some examples are included to illustrate the importance of results obtained.

## 2. Main Results

In this section, we shall establish some oscillation criteria for equation (1.1). We state and prove some useful lemmas. We need the following hypotheses for our use in the next discussion:

a) 
$$B(t) := \int_t^{\infty} b^{-1/\gamma}(s) ds < \infty, \omega = \left( 1 - p(t) \frac{B(\sigma(t))}{B(t)} > 0 \right),$$

$$\int_0^{\infty} k B(\tau(t)) (1 - p(\tau(t)))^\gamma q(t) dt = \infty.$$

b) 
$$\int_0^{\infty} k \left( B(\tau(t)) - p(\tau(t)) B(\sigma(\tau(t))) \right)^\gamma q(t) dt = \infty. .$$

c) 
$$\int_0^{\infty} k B^\gamma(t) \int_T^t \int_T^v \omega^\gamma(\tau(s)) q(s) ds dv dt = \infty \text{ for every } T > 0.$$

**Lemma 2.1:** Let (1.2) be hold. Let  $u(t)$  be a continuously differentiable function on  $[0, \infty)$ , such that  $(b(t)(u'(t))^\gamma)''' \leq 0$ , for large  $t$ . If  $u(t) > 0$  ultimately, then one of Cases (1), (2), (3) and (4) holds for large  $t$ , where

Case 1:  $u'(t) > 0, (b(t)(u'(t))^\gamma)' > 0, (b(t)(u'(t))^\gamma)'' > 0,$

Case 2:  $u'(t) > 0, (b(t)(u'(t))^\gamma)' < 0, (b(t)(u'(t))^\gamma)'' > 0,$

Case 3:  $u'(t) < 0, (b(t)(u'(t))^\gamma)' < 0, (b(t)(u'(t))^\gamma)'' > 0,$

Case 4:  $u'(t) < 0, (b(t)(u'(t))^\gamma)' < 0, (b(t)(u'(t))^\gamma)'' < 0,$

The proof is immediate and hence is omitted.

**Lemma 2.2:** Koplatadze and Chanturiya [14] consider the first-order delay differential equations of the form

$$z'(t) + \rho(t)z(g(t)) = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\rho, g \in (\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$ ,  $g(t) \geq t$ , for  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t) = \infty$ . If

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_t^{g(t)} \rho(s) ds > 1/e, \quad (2.2)$$

then all solutions of (2.1) oscillatory.

**Lemma 2.3:** Let the conditions of Lemma (2.1) hold. Then the following inequalities hold for large  $t$ :

(i) If  $u(t) > 0$  for large  $t$ , then in Cases (1) and (2),

$$u(t) \geq AB(t),$$

where  $A > 0$  is a constant.

(ii) If  $u(t) > 0$  for large  $t$ , then in Cases (3) and (4),

$$u(t) \geq -B(t)b^{1/\gamma}(t)u'(t),$$

and

$$\frac{u(t)}{B(t)} \geq 0.$$

**Proof: (i)** In Cases (1) and (2),  $u(t)$  is non-decreasing. Hence, there exist a constant  $A > 0$  and  $T > 0$  such that  $u(t) \geq A_1$ , for  $t > T$ . Consequently,

$$u(t) \geq AB(t) \text{ s.t. } A = A_1 B(t) < \infty. \tag{2.3}$$

For **(ii)** we use the fact that  $b(t)(u'(t))^\gamma$  is decreasing. We obtain

$$b(s)(u'(s))^\gamma \leq b(t)(u'(t))^\gamma, \quad s \geq t.$$

Therefore, for  $v \geq t$

$$u(v) \leq u(t) + \left( b(t)(u'(t))^\gamma \right)^{1/\gamma} \int_t^v b^{-1/\gamma}(s) ds.$$

Taking limit as  $v \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain

$$u(t) \geq -B(t)b^{1/\gamma}(t)u'(t).$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\frac{u(t)}{B(t)} \geq 0.$$

**Theorem 2.1:** Let (a), (b) and (c) hold. Then every solution of equation (1.1) is oscillatory.

**Proof:** Assume that (1.1) has a nonoscillatory solution  $X$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $x(t) > 0$ . Hence there exist  $t_1 \geq t_0$  such that  $x(\sigma(t)) > 0$ ,  $x(\tau(t)) > 0$  for  $t \geq t_1$ .

Consequently,  $\left[ b(t)(z'(t))^\gamma \right]''$ ,  $\left[ b(t)(z'(t))^\gamma \right]'$ ,  $z'(t)$  and  $z(t)$  are of one sign on  $[t_1, \infty)$ . By Lemma (2.1) any one of four Cases (1), (2), (3) and (4) holds on  $[t_1, \infty)$ .

Case (1) and Case (2): By Lemma (2.3, (i)), we have  $z(t) \geq AB(t)$ . Using the fact that  $z(t)$  is increasing on  $[t_2, \infty)$  it happens that

$$\begin{aligned} (1-p(t))z(t) &< z(t) - p(t)z(\sigma(t)) \\ &= x(t) + p(t)x(\sigma(t)) - p(t)x(\sigma(t)) - p(t)p(\sigma(t)x(\sigma^2(t))) \\ &< x(t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence (1.1) written as

$$\left[ b(t)(z'(t))^\gamma \right]''' + kq(t)(1-p(\tau(t)))^\gamma z'(\tau(t)) \leq 0,$$

by (2.3) we obtain

$$\left[ b(t)(z'(t))^\gamma \right]''' + kq(t)A^\gamma B^\gamma(\tau(t))(1-p(\tau(t)))^\gamma \leq 0.$$

Integrating from  $t_2$  to  $\infty$ , we get a contradicts (a).

Case (3): Clearly,  $z(t) \geq x(t)$ . By Lemma (2.3, (ii)), it happens that

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= z(t) - p(t)x(\sigma(t)) \geq z(t) - p(t)z(\sigma(t)), \\ &= 1 - p(t) \left( \frac{z(\sigma(t))}{z(t)} \right) z(t), \\ &\geq \left( 1 - p(t) \frac{B(\sigma(t))}{B(t)} \right) z(t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence (1.1) written as

$$\left[ b(t)(z'(t))^\gamma \right]''' + kq(t) \left( 1 - p(\tau(t)) \frac{B(\sigma(\tau(t)))}{B(\tau(t))} \right)^\gamma z^\gamma(\tau(t)) \leq 0. \tag{2.4}$$

Further,  $\frac{z(t)}{B(t)}$  is increasing which implies that there exist  $\eta > 0$  and  $t_3 > t_2$  such that

$$z(t) \geq \eta B(t),$$

hence equation (2.4) becomes

$$\left[ b(t)(z'(t))^\gamma \right]''' + k\eta^\gamma (B(\tau(t)) - p(\tau(t))B(\sigma(\tau(t))))^\gamma q(t) \leq 0. \tag{2.5}$$

Integrating (2.5) from  $t_3$  to  $\infty$ , we obtain a contradicts (b).

Case (4): by

$$\omega = \left( 1 - p(t) \frac{B(\sigma(t))}{B(t)} \right)$$

in equation (2.4) we obtain

$$\left[ b(t)(z'(t))^\gamma \right]''' + kq(t)\omega^\gamma(\tau(t))z^\gamma(\tau(t)) \leq 0, t > t_2. \tag{2.6}$$

Using  $z(\tau(t)) \geq z(t)$  and then integrating equation (2.6) from  $t_2$  to  $t$ , we obtain that

$$\left[ b(t)(z'(t))^\gamma \right]'' + kz^\gamma(t) \int_{t_2}^t \omega^\gamma(\tau(s))q(s)ds \leq 0.$$

Integration of the above inequality, we get

$$\left[ b(t)(z'(t))^\gamma \right] + kz^\gamma(t) \int_{t_2}^t \int_{t_2}^v \omega^\gamma(\tau(s))q(s)dsdv \leq 0,$$

which is of the form

$$y'(t) - y(t)kB^\gamma(t) \int_{t_2}^t \int_{t_2}^v \omega^\gamma(\tau(s))q(s)dsdv \leq 0, \quad (2.7)$$

due to Lemma (2.3(ii)), where

$$y(t) = b(t)(z'(t))^\gamma,$$

For  $t > t_2$ . Upon using the fact that  $y(t)$  is decreasing the inequality equation (2.7) yields

$$k \int_{t_2}^\infty B^\gamma(t) \int_{t_2}^t \int_{t_2}^v \omega^\gamma(\tau(s))q(s)dsdvdt < \infty,$$

a contradiction to (c). This completes the proof of the theorem.

### 3. Examples

In this section, we give the following example to illustrate our main results.

**Example 3.1:** Consider a differential equation

$$\left( t^6 \left( \left( x(t) + \frac{1}{3}x\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \right)' \right)^3 \right)''' + t^4 x^3\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) = 0, \quad t \geq 1. \quad (3.1)$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &= 3, \quad b(t) = t^6 > 0, \quad p(t) = \frac{1}{3}, \quad \tau(t) = \frac{t}{2} = \sigma(t), \\ q(t) &= t^4 > 0, \quad f(x) = x^3, \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, (a), (b) and (c) are satisfied for equation (3.1).

$$B(s) = \int_t^\infty \frac{1}{s^2} ds = \frac{1}{t},$$

$$\int_0^\infty kB^\gamma(\tau(t))(1-p(\tau(t)))^\gamma q(t)dt = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{t^4} dt = \infty,$$

$$\int_0^\infty k(B(\tau(t)) - p(\tau(t))B(\sigma(\tau(t))))^\gamma q(t)dt = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{t^3} dt = \infty,$$

$$\int_0^\infty kB^\gamma(t) \int_T^t \int_T^v \omega^\gamma(\tau(s))q(s)dsdvdt = \infty.$$

Hence, by Theorem(2.1), every solution of equation (3.1) is oscillatory.

**Example 3.2:** Consider a differential equation

$$\left( e^t \left( x(t) + (2 + e^{-t}) x\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \right)' \right)''' + e^{8t} x^3\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) = 0, t \geq 1. \quad (3.2)$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma = 1, b(t) = e^t > 0, p(t) = 2 + e^{-t}, \tau(t) = \frac{t}{2} = \sigma(t), \\ q(t) = e^{8t} > 0, f(x) = x^3, \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, (a), (b) and (c) are satisfied for equation (3.2).

$$B(s) = \int_t^\infty \frac{1}{e^s} ds = e^{-t},$$

$$\int_0^\infty k B^\gamma(\tau(t)) (1 - p(\tau(t)))^\gamma q(t) dt = \infty,$$

$$\int_0^\infty k \left( B(\tau(t)) - p(\tau(t)) B(\sigma(\tau(t))) \right)^\gamma q(t) dt = \infty,$$

$$\int_0^\infty k B^\gamma(t) \int_T^t \int_T^v \omega^\gamma(\tau(s)) q(s) ds dv dt = \infty.$$

Hence, by Theorem(2.1), every solution of equation (3.2) is oscillatory.

**Example 3.3:** Consider a differential equation

$$\left( t^9 \left( \left( x(t) + \frac{1}{2} x(\alpha t) \right)' \right)^3 \right)''' + \frac{1}{t^6} x^3(\alpha t) = 0, t \geq 1. \quad (3.3)$$

Where  $0 < \alpha < 1$  is a constant. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma = 1, b(t) = t^9 > 0, p(t) = \frac{1}{2}, \tau(t) = \alpha t = \sigma(t), \\ q(t) = \frac{1}{t^6} > 0, f(x) = x^3, \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, (a), (b) and (c) are satisfied for equation (3.3).

$$B(s) = \int_t^\infty \frac{1}{s^3} ds = \frac{1}{2t^2},$$

$$\int_0^\infty k B^\gamma(\tau(t)) (1 - p(\tau(t)))^\gamma q(t) dt = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{t^9} dt = \infty,$$

$$\int_0^\infty k \left( B(\tau(t)) - p(\tau(t)) B(\sigma(\tau(t))) \right)^\gamma q(t) dt = \infty,$$



$$\int_0^{\infty} k B^{\gamma}(t) \int_T^t \int_T^{\nu} \omega^{\gamma}(\tau(s)) q(s) ds d\nu dt = \infty.$$

Hence, by Theorem (2.1) every solution of equation (3.3) is oscillatory.

#### 4. Conclusion

There has been an open problem regarding the study of sufficient conditions ensuring oscillation of all solutions of fourth-order neutral functional differential equation delay. We present some new theorems for the oscillation of (1.1) by using comparison theorem with first order differential equation. Our method essentially simplifies the examination of the fourth order equation, our results here complement some well-known results which were published recently in the literature. In addition, the next step that can be done is as follows:

(1) It would be of interest to consider (1.1) where  $z(t) = x(t) + \int_c^d p(t, \sigma) x(\tau(t, \sigma)) d\sigma$  and try to obtain some oscillation criteria if  $B(t) = \infty$ .

(2) We can consider the differential equation with advanced nonlinear term, that is, when  $\tau(t) \geq t$  is considered.

#### Acknowledgements

The author express their sincere gratitude to the editors and the anonymous referee for careful reading of the original manuscript and useful comments.

#### References

- [1] R. Agarwal, S. Grace and J. Manojlovic, Oscillation criteria for certain fourth order nonlinear functional differential equations, *Math. Comput. Model.*, **44** (2006): 163-187.
- [2] B. Baculikova, J. Dzurina and J. R. Graef, On the oscillation of higher-order delay differential equations, *Math. Slovaca*, **187** (2012): 387-400.
- [3] O. Bazighifan and E.M.Elabbasy, Oscillatory Properties of Higher-Order Differential Equations with Distributed Delay, *Transnational Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **1**(2018): 83-94.
- [4] O. Bazighifan, *Oscillation criteria for nonlinear delay differential equation*, Lambert Academic Publishing, Germany, (2017).
- [5] O. Bazighifan, Oscillatory behavior of higher-order delay differential equations, *General Letters in Mathematics*, **2** (2017): 105-110.
- [6] E. M. Elabbasy, O. Moaaz and O. Bazighifan, Oscillation Solution for Higher-Order Delay

- Differential Equations, *Journal of King Abdulaziz University*, **29** (2017): 45-52.
- [7] E. M. Elabbasy, O. Moaaz and O. Bazighifan, Oscillation of Fourth-Order Advanced Differential Equations, *Journal of Modern Science and Engineering*, **3** (2017): 64-71.
- [8] E. M. Elabbasy, O. Moaaz and O. Bazighifan, Oscillation Criteria for Fourth-Order Nonlinear Differential Equations, *International Journal of Modern Mathematical Sciences*, **15** (2017): 50-57.
- [9] N.Parhi and A.K.Tripathy, On oscillatory fourth order linear neutral differential equations-I, *Mathematica Slovaca*, 54(2004): 389-410.
- [10] N.Parhi and A.K.Tripathy, On oscillatory fourth order nonlinear neutral differential equations-II, *Mathematica Slovaca*, 55(2005): 183-202.
- [11] S. Grace, R. Agarwal and J. Graef, Oscillation theorems for fourth order functional differential equations, *J. Appl. Math. Comput.*, **30** (2009): 75-88.
- [12] I. Gyori and G. Ladas, *Oscillation theory of delay differential equations with applications*, Clarendon Press, Oxford (1991).
- [13] I. Kiguradze and T. Chanturia, *Asymptotic properties of solutions of nonautonomous ordinary differential equations*, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht (1993).
- [14] R. G. Koplatadze and T. A. Chanturiya, Oscillatory and monotone solutions of first order delay differential equations with deviating arguments, *Differential, nye Uravneniya*, (1982):1463-1465.
- [15] G. Ladde, V. Lakshmikantham and B. Zhang, *Oscillation theory of differential equations with deviating arguments*, Marcel Dekker, NewYork, (1987).
- [16] T. Li, B. Baculikova, J. Dzurina and C. Zhang, Oscillation of fourth order neutral differential equations with p-Laplacian like operators, *Bound. Value Probl.*, **56** (2014): 41-58.
- [17] O. Moaaz, E. M. Elabbasy and O. Bazighifan, On the asymptotic behavior of fourth-order functional differential equations, *Adv. Difference Equ.*, **261** (2017): 1-13.
- [18] C. Tunc and O. Bazighifan, Some new oscillation criteria for fourth-order neutral differential equations with distributed delay, *Electronic Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **7** (2019): 235-241.
- [19] C. Philos, On the existence of nonoscillatory solutions tending to zero at  $\infty$  for differential equations with positive delay, *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, **36** (1981): 168-178.
- [20] C. Zhang, T. Li and S. Saker, Oscillation of fourth-order delay differential equations, *J. Math. Sci.*, **201** (2014): 296-308.